

Numerical Optimization

A quick primer and recap

Melanie Ganz-Benjaminsen Kenny Erleben Department of Computer Science

University of Copenhagen

Taylor Series

If $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ then we know

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)p^{2} + \cdots
$$

or written more compactly

$$
f(x+p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} p^n
$$

Taylor's (Formula) Theorem

By definition one has

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)p^{2} + \cdots + \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}p^{n} + R_{n}
$$

where

$$
R_n = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(x+tp)}{(n+1)!}p^{n+1}
$$

for some $0 < t < 1$.

For $n = 0$ and $n = 1$

We get

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + \underbrace{f'(x+tp)p}_{R_0}
$$

and

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \underbrace{\frac{f^{(2)}(x+tp)p^2}{2}}_{R_1}
$$

Compare this with Theorem 2.1 in Nocedal and Wright (page 14).

Connection to Taylor Series for $n = 0$ case

The Mean Value Theorem (MVT) says

$$
f'(x+tp) = \frac{f(x+p) - f(x)}{p}
$$

So from the Taylor series, we have

$$
f(x+p) - f(x) = f'(x)p + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)p^{2} + \cdots
$$

Use MVT

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x+tp)p
$$

So Taylor's Theorem is really just MVT on Taylor Series.

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

It says

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f'(x)dx = f(b) - f(a)
$$

Now from Taylor's Theorem

$$
f(x + p) = f(x) + f'(x + tp)p, \text{ for some } t \in (0..1)
$$

$$
\int_0^1 (f(x + p) - f(x)) dt = \int_0^1 f'(x + tp)pdt.
$$

So Taylor's Theorem can be written as

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + \int_0^1 f'(x+tp)pdt
$$

Definitions of Order Notation – big O

We write

$$
f(x)\in \mathcal{O}(g(x))
$$

if there exist $C > 0$ and x_0 such that

 $|f(x)| \leq C |g(x)|$

holds for all $x > x_0$. This definition describes the growth rate as x grows.

Definitions of Order Notation – big O

Big O can be generalized to describe the behavior near some value x_0

$$
|f(x)| \le C |g(x)| \quad \text{as} \quad x \to x_0
$$

Meaning that there exist there exist $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$
|f(x)| \le C |g(x)| \quad \text{as} \quad |x - x_0| < \delta
$$

Typically in finite difference approximations when we study how error terms scale we use $x_0 = 0.$

Generalization of big O

From the last generalized definition, we may write that

 $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}(g(x))$

means

$$
\lim_{x\to x_0}\sup\left|\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right|<\infty
$$

Definitions of Order Notation – little o

We write

$$
f(x)\in \mathbf{o}(g(x))
$$

if

$$
\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0
$$

Lesson Learned

big-O meaning "grows no faster than" (i.e. grows at the same rate or slower) and little-o meaning "grows strictly slower than"

Hence little-o is considered a stronger statement than big-O.

Using little-**o** in Taylor Series

We know

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)p^{2} + \cdots
$$

Clearly

$$
\lim_{p\to 0}\frac{\frac{1}{2}f''(x)p^2+\cdots}{p}=0
$$

and by definition, we can write

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \mathbf{o}(p)
$$

Intuition: this tells how $f(x + p)$ behaves when p gets smaller.

Using Big- $\mathcal O$ in Taylor Series

We know

$$
f(x + p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)p^{2} + \cdots
$$

Use Taylor formula

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + R_1
$$

where $R_1=\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}f''(x+tp)p^2$ for some $0 \le t \le 1$. Clearly

 $|R_1| \leq C |p^2|$

where $\mathcal{C} = \textsf{sup}_t \, (\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}f''(x+tp)\big)$ and by definition we can write

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \mathcal{O}(p^2)
$$

Intuition: this tells a loose upper bound on $f(x + p)$.

Notice the Difference

So we have

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \mathcal{O}(p^2)
$$

$$
f(x+p) = f(x) + f'(x)p + \mathbf{o}(p)
$$

Which one is the better one?

Convergence Rate Definitions

Let $\varepsilon_k \in \mathbb{R}$ be the error in the k^{th} iteration then

Linear Convergence Rate

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\varepsilon_{k+1}|}{|\varepsilon_k|} = c
$$

where $0 < c < 1$ is the convergence constant.

Super Linear Convergence Rate

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\varepsilon_{k+1}|}{|\varepsilon_k|} = 0
$$

Quadratic Convergence Rate

$$
\frac{|\varepsilon_{k+1}|}{|\varepsilon_k|^2} \leq M
$$

for some $0 < M \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all k sufficiently large.

Linear Convergence Rate

Basically means that

 $\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq c \, \varepsilon_k$

for some constant $0 < c < 1$. In the worst case, equality holds

$$
\varepsilon_1 = c \varepsilon_0
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_2 = c \varepsilon_1 = c^2 \varepsilon_0
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_k = c \varepsilon_{k-1} = c^k \varepsilon_0
$$

Linear Convergence Rate

So for linear convergence rate, we have in the worst case,

$$
\varepsilon_k=c^k\varepsilon_0.
$$

Now we take the logarithm,

$$
\log \varepsilon_k = \log(c^k \varepsilon_0) = k \log(c) + \log(\varepsilon_0)
$$

Hence in a log plot, we have a straight line with an intersection with y-axis given by $log(\varepsilon_0)$ and slope $log(c)$.

Quadratic Convergence Rate

Basically means that

$$
\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq M \varepsilon_k^2
$$

for some constant $0 < M$. In worst case equality holds

$$
\varepsilon_1 = M\varepsilon_0^2
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_2 = M\varepsilon_1^2 = M^3 \varepsilon_0^4
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_3 = M\varepsilon_2^2 = M^7 \varepsilon_0^8
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_k = M\varepsilon_{k-1}^2 = M^{2^k - 1} \varepsilon_0^{2^k}
$$

Quadratic Convergence Rate

So we have

$$
\varepsilon_k = M^{2^k-1} \varepsilon_0^{2^k}.
$$

Now we take the logarithm

$$
\log \varepsilon_k = \log(M^{2^k - 1} \varepsilon_0^{2^k}),
$$

= (2^k - 1) log(M) + 2^k log(\varepsilon_0),
= 2^k log(M\varepsilon_0) - log M.

Hence in a log plot, we have a decreasing power function if $log(M\varepsilon_0) < 0$ this will occur if the initial error is sufficiently small.

Given

$$
f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} & ; x \neq 0, \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

- Show that $f(x)$ is infinitely differentiable at $x = 0$
- Write up its Taylor series around $x = 0$
- Discuss if $f(x)$ is equal to its Taylor series around $x = 0$
- What requirements should one have to a function $f(x)$ in order for it to be equal to its Taylor series?

- Find out for what functions $f(x) : [a,b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ that MVT holds for. Here we assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$.
- Discuss if these requirements are fulfilled for functions that are equal to their Taylor series

- Try and differentiate $f(x + tp)$ wrt. t and use the result to apply the fundamental theorem of calculus to $\int_0^1 f'(x+tp)pdt$. What have you found?
- Discuss what equation 2.5 in Nocedal and Wright really is. Hint consider MVT and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

• Use formal definitions to show whether

 $x^2 \in \mathcal{O}(x^2)$ $x^2 \in \mathcal{O}(x^2 + x)$ $x^2 \in \mathcal{O}(200 * x^2)$ $x^2 \in \mathbf{O}(x^3)$ $x^2 \in \mathbf{O}(x!)$ $ln(x) ∈ **o**(x)$

- Assume $f(x) \in \mathbf{o}(g(x))$ does this imply $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}(g(x))$
- Assume $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}(g(x))$ discuss if this imply $f(x) \in o(g(x))$

- Prove or disprove $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}(x^2) \Rightarrow f(x) \in \mathbf{o}(x)$
- Prove or disprove $f(x) \in \mathbf{O}(x) \Rightarrow f(x) \in \mathcal{O}(x^2)$

Hint:

$$
\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 0
$$

Means that for any value $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist $0 < \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all x

$$
|x| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| < \varepsilon
$$

- \bullet Let $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}_+$ then define the sequence $L\equiv\left\{\varepsilon_k\right\}_{k=1}^N$ for some sufficiently large $N>1$ given by the recurrence relation $\varepsilon_{k+1}=\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_k$ with $\varepsilon_1=\frac{1}{10}$.
- Define $Q \equiv {\varepsilon_k}_{k=1}^N$ given by $\varepsilon_{k+1} = 10\varepsilon_k^2$ with $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{10}$.
- Define $S \equiv \{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^N$ given by $\varepsilon_{k+1} = c(k)\varepsilon_k^2$ with $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{10}$ and $c(k) = e^{-\frac{1}{k^2}}$

Make plots of each sequence and discuss the shape of the plots. Can you identify which is which just by looking at their shapes?

- Reconsider the sequences of error measures L, S, and Q. Prove/disprove for each whether it has a linear, super linear, or quadratic convergence rate. (Hint: use the formal definitions of convergence rates).
- Try to plot the sequences $\varepsilon_k \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ $k, \varepsilon_k \equiv 1 + k^{-k}, \text{ and } \varepsilon_k \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ 2^k . Determine which ones have linear, super linear, and quadratic convergence rates.

- Try and take all previously listed sequences from previous slides and plot these in log plots.
- What can you observe from the plots?
- Consider what happens with the log plot of linear convergence rate if you let c be a decreasing function towards zero as a function of k. What kind of curve shape do you get?
- Try for quadratic convergence rate to plot the $log log \epsilon_k$ as a function of k, what do you discover? (Extra prove that slope of $\log \log \varepsilon_k$ plot for quadratic converge is $\log 2$